| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/808,052 | BLUMBERG, RICHARD S. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Andrew D. Kosar | 1654 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>before 1st action</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Andrew D. Kosar</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Ingrid Beattie</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 11 November 2005 | Time: <u>9:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: Groups VI and VII with respect to the restriction requirement | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| Aulu S Lorg | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant) | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Application No. 10/808,052

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant to discuss claims 24 and 25 which had been inadvertently listed as both members of Group VII and as linking claims. The examiner incidcated that the claims were properly placed in Group VII, and commensurate with Applicant's election of Group VI, the claims would be withdrawn from consideration and not examined as linking claims, but would be included with Group VII, and handled according to linking claim practice. Applicant maintained the election of Group VI and the species as identified in the response.